#### JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

### Case 2:14-cv-06448-AB Document 1 Filed 11/07/14 Page 1 of 17 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose or minuting me errir a	order sheet. (BBB IIIBING CITONS OF	THE THOE OF THIS I	Oldin.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  Janet Cleary and Thomas Cleary, h/w			DEFENDANTS L&L Transportation; Leonard Rizzolo; Crestwood Midstream; and PAPCO, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Kevin P. O'Brien, Esquire Stampone Law, PC 500 Cottman Avenue Cheltenham, PA 19012 (215)663-0400			County of Residence NOTE: Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff						
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT izen of This State	F DEF	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF incipal Place	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity      (Indicate Citizenship of Parties)	in Item III)	izen of Another State	of Business In A	Another State	
		I	izen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY	RSONAL INJURY Personal Injury - Product Liability Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability Other Fraud Truth in Lending Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability  ONER PETITIONS Deas Corpus: Alien Detainee Motions to Vacate Sentence General Death Penalty Dersonal Property Damage Death Penalty Death Corpus: Death Corpus: Death Penalty Death Corpus: Death Penalty Death Corpus: Death Corpus: Death Corpus: Death Corpus: Death Penalty Death Corpus: Death Corpus: Death Corpus: Death Penalty Death Corpus:	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157     PROPERTY RIGHTS     820 Copyrights     830 Patent     840 Trademark       861 HIA (1395ff)       862 Black Lung (923)       863 BlWC/DIWW (405(g))       864 SSID Title XVI       865 RSI (405(g))	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
	560	Civil Detainee - Conditions of				
V. ORIGIN (Place am "X" in One Box Only)  ☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify)  ☐ Transferred from ☐ 6 Multidistrict Another District (specify)						
VI. CAUSE OF	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under		Do not cite jurisdictional statut JSC 1322	tes unless diversity):		
ACTION	Brief description of cause:	Dare	sonal Injury			
			DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY  (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORDS						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IEP HUDGE MAG HUDGE						

#### Case 2:14-cv-06448-AB Document 1 Filed 11/07/14 Page 2 of 17

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. 900 E. Fornance Street, Norristown, PA 19401 Address of Plaintiff: 3825 US Highway 6 East, Waterloo, IN 46793 Address of Defendant: Pennsylvania Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes□ No□ (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Unknown Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes NoK 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes□ No□X CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. 

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. D FELA 2. 

Airplane Personal Injury 3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. 

Assault, Defamation 4. 

Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. D Patent 5. K Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. D Civil Rights 7. D Products Liability 8. 

Products Liability — Asbestos 8. 

Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Kevin P. O'Brien , counsel of record do hereby certify: R Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 313081 Attorney I.D.# Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 313081 Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

**CIVIL ACTION** 

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
215-663-0400	215-663-9112	kobrien@stmponelaw.com			
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for Plaintif			
(0/8/14	Kevin P. O'Brien	Kab			
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any o	ne of the other tracks. $(xx)$			
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks ( complex and that need special of this form for a detailed ex	or intense management by			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arbitrat	ion under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( )			
	requesting review of a decision on the security Beautiff Social Security Beautiff Securit				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.			
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	se Management Track Designating a copy on all defendants. (See § event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance, su	duction Plan of this court, counsel for on Form in all civil cases at the time of 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse agree with the plaintiff regarding said abmit to the clerk of court and serve on Designation Form specifying the track			
L&L Transporta	tion Co., et al	NO.			
Janet Cleary an v.	d Thomas Cleary h/w : :	CIVIL ACTION			
10-00 - 00-000 - 0000 - 0000 - 0000 - 0000		CIVIL ACTION			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

#### Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

#### SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JANET CLEARY AND THOMAS CLEARY, H/W

900 E. Fornance Street Norristown, PA 19401

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

vs.

L&L TRANSPORTATION CO.

3825 US Highway 6 East Waterloo In, 46793 AND

**LEONARD RIZZOLO** 

324 Erie Ave Clayton, NJ 08312-2013

CRESTWOOD MIDSTREAM

**PARTNERS** 

1709 S. Burlington Road Bridgeton, NJ 08032

PAPCO, LLC

1709 S. Burlington Road Bridgeton, NJ 08032

**CIVIL ACTION NO** 

### **COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION**

#### **JURISDICTION**

Jurisdiction is founded upon diversity of citizenship of the parties and the amount in controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1322, in that Plaintiffs, Janet and Thomas Cleary are individuals and citizens of the State of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 900 E. Fornance Street, Norristown, PA 19401; Defendant Leonard Rizzolo, is alleged and therefore averred to be an adult individual and Citizen of the State of New Jersey residing therein at 324 Erie Ave, Clayton, NJ 08312-2013, Defendant L&L Transportation Co. is alleged and therefore averred to be an Indiana corporate entity with its principal place of business located at 3825 US Highway 6 East,

Waterloo In, 46793, Defendant Crestwood Midstream Partners is alleged and therefore averred to be a corporate entity with its principal place of business located at 1709 S. Burlington Road, Bridgeton, NJ 08032, Defendant PAPCO, LLC is alleged and therefore averred to be an corporate entity with its principal place of business located at 1709 S. Burlington Road, Bridgeton, NJ 08032. The amount in controversy exceeds Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000.00) Dollars.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:**

- 1. Plaintiffs, Janet and Thomas Cleary, husband and wife are individuals and citizens of the State of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 900 E. Fornance Street, Norristown, PA 19401.
- 2. Defendant Leonard Rizzolo, is alleged and therefore averred to be an adult individual and Citizen of the State of New Jersey residing therein at 324 Erie Ave, Clayton, NJ 08312-2013.
- 3. Defendant L&L Transportation Co. is alleged and therefore averred to be a Indiana corporation, partnership and/or other business entity Licensed to transact business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business located at 3825 US Highway 6 East, Waterloo In, 46793.
- 4. Defendant Crestwood Midstream Partners is alleged and therefore averred to be a corporate entity with its principal place of business located at 1709 S. Burlington Road.
- 5. Defendant PAPCO, LLC is alleged and therefore averred to be a corporate entity with its principal place of business located at 1709 S. Burlington Road, Bridgeton, NJ 08032.
- 6. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Leonard Rizzolo, was acting individually or as the agent, servant, employee of Defendants, L&L Transportation, Co, Crestwood Midstream Partners, and/or PAPCO, LLC rendering these Defendants responsible for the

negligence and carelessness of its agents, ostensible agents, workmen and/or employees under the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior.

- 7. At all times relevant hereto, the motor vehicle being operated by Defendant, Leonard Rizzolo, was owned and maintained by Defendants, L&L Transportation, Co, Crestwood Midstream Partners, and/or PAPCO, LLC.
- 8. On or about December 26, 2013, Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, was lawfully operating her motor vehicle when she was involved in a low-speed collision of less than 5 mph which caused her vehicle to come to a stop on the shoulder of the roadway of I-76 West, otherwise known as the Pennsylvania Turnpike.
- 9. After gathering her things Plaintiff exited her vehicle on the passenger side which was on the shoulder of the roadway. After exiting the vehicle Plaintiff turned to see a tractor trailer being driven by Defendant Rizzolo in the course and scope of his employment by L&L Transpiration, Crestwood Midstream Partners, and/or PAPCO, Inc., "jackknifed", or travelling perpendicular to the roadway at a high rate of speed in a manner that was obvious the driver was not in control of the operation of the vehicle.
- 10. As the tractor-trailer being driven by Defendant passed another vehicle stopped on the north shoulder of the road, said tractor-trailer struck said vehicle which was fully on the shoulder of the roadway.
- 11. The tractor-trailer being operated by the Defendant then went on to strike several more cars stopped on the side of the roadway eventually causing one such vehicle to strike Plaintiff after she had exited her vehicle and was on the shoulder of the roadway as a pedestrian causing the skin, soft tissue, and muscles to be ripped from her leg and a fracture of her tibia and fibia, and other various, serious and permanent injuries set forth more fully at length below.

- 12. This accident was caused solely by the negligence and carelessness of the aforementioned Defendants, their agents, ostensible agents, servants, workmen, independent contractors and/or employees, and was due in no manner whatsoever to any act or failure to act on the part of the Plaintiff.
- 13. As a result of the aforesaid accident, the Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, has suffered injuries which are serious and permanent in nature, including, but not limited to: complex fracture of her tibia and fibula, severe rubber burn, stripping of the soft tissue from the leg to the bone, post-operative infection and subsequent surgery to treat same, loss of use of her leg, long term antibiotic therapy, permanent scarring and disfigurement, contusions, lacerations and abrasions over various portions of her body; post traumatic anxiety and depression; severe damage to her nerves and nervous system; and various other ills and injuries which the Plaintiff yet suffers and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 14. As a further result of the aforesaid accident, the Plaintiff, Janet Cleary has been obliged to receive and undergo medical attention and care and to incur various and diverse expenses, all of which have or may exceed the sums and value recoverable under 75 Pa. C.S. Section 1711 and which the Plaintiff may continue to expend and incur for an indefinite time into the future.
- 15. As a further result of the aforesaid accident, the Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, has suffered severe physical pain and trauma, mental upset, anguish, and humiliation and may continue to suffer same for an indefinite time into the future.
- 16. As a further result of the aforesaid incident, Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, has or may suffer a severe loss of his earnings and impairment of his earning capacity and power, all of which may continue indefinitely into the future.

17. As a further result of the aforesaid accident, the Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, has suffered a diminution in her ability to enjoy life and life's pleasures, all of which will continue indefinitely into the future.

## <u>COUNT I</u> <u>JANET CLEARY V. L&L TRANSPORTATION, CO.</u>

- 18. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 17 as if the same were herein set forth at length.
- 19. The negligence of the Defendant, L&L TRANSPORTATION CO.., its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, consisted of, but is not limited to, the following:
- a) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to properly operate and control the motor vehicle so that it would not become a hazard to persons situated such as the Plaintiff;
- b) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to apply the brakes to avoid an accident;
- c) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to yield the right of way to Plaintiff's vehicle;
- d) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to keep a proper lookout;
- e) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for operation of the vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- f) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for making an improper right turn;
- g) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failing to maintain control of his vehicle;

- h) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for entering Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- i) operation of a vehicle in violation of the laws in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania governing the operation of motor vehicles on the streets and highways;
  - j) failing to train it's drivers;
  - k) failing to maintain it's vehicle properly.
- 20. The negligence and carelessness of the Defendant as set forth herein was the proximate and sole cause of the injuries and damages to the Plaintiff and expenses incurred as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, demands judgment against the Defendant, L&L TRANSPORTATION CO., its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000.00) Dollars, along with lawful interest and costs of suit.

# COUNT II JANET CLEARY V. CRESTWOOD MIDSTREAM PARTNERS.

- 21. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 20 as if the same were herein set forth at length.
- 22. The negligence of the Defendant, Crestwood Midstream Partners, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, consisted of, but is not limited to, the following:
- a) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to properly operate and control the motor vehicle so that it would not become a hazard to persons situated such as the Plaintiff;
- b) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to apply the brakes to avoid an accident;

- c) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to yield the right of way to Plaintiff's vehicle;
- d) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to keep a proper lookout;
- e) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for operation of the vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- f) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for making an improper right turn;
- g) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failing to maintain control of his vehicle;
- h) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for entering Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- i) operation of a vehicle in violation of the laws in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania governing the operation of motor vehicles on the streets and highways;
  - j) failing to train it's drivers;
  - k) failing to maintain it's vehicle properly.
- 23. The negligence and carelessness of the Defendant as set forth herein was the proximate and sole cause of the injuries and damages to the Plaintiff and expenses incurred as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, demands judgment against the Defendant, Crestwood Midstream Partners, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000.00) Dollars, along with lawful interest and costs of suit.

### COUNT III JANET CLEARY V. PAPCO, LLC.

- 24. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 23 as if the same were herein set forth at length.
- 25. The negligence of the Defendant, PAPCO, LLC, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, consisted of, but is not limited to, the following:
- a) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to properly operate and control the motor vehicle so that it would not become a hazard to persons situated such as the Plaintiff;
- b) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to apply the brakes to avoid an accident;
- c) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to yield the right of way to Plaintiff's vehicle;
- d) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failure to keep a proper lookout;
- e) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for operation of the vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- f) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for making an improper right turn;
- g) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for failing to maintain control of his vehicle;
- h) negligently entrusting a motor vehicle to one known to have the propensities for entering Plaintiff's lane of travel;
  - i) operation of a vehicle in violation of the laws in the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania governing the operation of motor vehicles on the streets and highways;

- j) failing to train it's drivers;
- k) failing to maintain it's vehicle properly.
- 26. The negligence and carelessness of the Defendant as set forth herein was the proximate and sole cause of the injuries and damages to the Plaintiff and expenses incurred as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, demands judgment against the Defendant PAPCO, LLC, its agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000.00) Dollars, along with lawful interest and costs of suit.

# COUNT IV JANET CLEARY VS. LEONARD RIZZOLO

- 25. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 24 as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 26. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Leonard Rizzolo was an agent, ostensible agent, employee, worker, and/or independent contractor of Defendants L&L Transportation, Co, Crestwood Midstream Partners, and/or PAPCO, LLC.
- 26. The negligence and carelessness of the Defendant, Leonard Rizzolo, consisted of, but is not limited to, the following:
  - a) failure to properly operate and control the motor vehicle so that it would not become a hazard to persons situated such as the Plaintiff;
    - b) failure to apply the brakes to avoid an accident;
    - c) failure to yield the right of way to Plaintiff's vehicle;
    - d) failure to keep a proper lookout;

- e) operation of the vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
  - f) making an improper right turn;
  - g) failing to maintain control of his vehicle;
  - h) entering Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- i) operation of a vehicle in violation of the laws in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania governing the operation of motor vehicles on the streets and highways.
- 27. The negligence and carelessness of the Defendant was the proximate and sole cause of the injuries and damage to the Plaintiff and expenses incurred as set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Janet Cleary, demands judgment against the Defendant, Leonard Rizzolo, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000.00) Dollars, along with lawful interest and costs of suit.

## COUNT V THOMAS CLEARY V. ALL DEFENDANTS

- 28. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 27 as if the same were herein set forth at length.
  - 29. Plaintiff, Thomas Cleary, was and is the lawful husband of Plaintiff, Janet Cleary.
- 30. As a result of the injuries suffered by his wife, Plaintiff, Thomas Cleary, has and will in the future suffer the loss and deprivations of the usual services, society and consortium of his wife and has been required to provide special services and care to her.
- 31. As a further result of the aforesaid accident, the Plaintiff, Thomas Cleary, has suffered damages to his motor vehicle and incurred expenses for towing and storage.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Thomas Cleary, demands judgment against the Defendants, L&L Transportation Co., Midstream Crestwood Partners, PAPCO, LLC, and Leonard Rizzolo,

their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of Two Hundred Thousand (\$200,000.00) Dollars.

Respectfully submitted,

STAMPONE LAW, P.C.

BY

JOSEPH P. STAMPONE, ESQUIRE jstampone@stamponelaw.com
KEVIN P. O'BRIEN, ESQUIRE kobrien@stamponelaw.com
JAMES P. MCNALLY, ESQUIRE jmcnally@stamponelaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
500 Cottman Avenue
Cheltenham, PA 19012
(215) 663-0400
(215) 663-9112

### **VERIFICATION**

JANET CLEARY, states that She is the Plaintiff herein, that She is acquainted with the facts set forth in the foregoing pleading, that the same are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief and that this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A., Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Janet J. Cleary
JANET CLEARY

#### **VERIFICATION**

THOMAS CLEARY, states that he is the Plaintiff herein, that he is acquainted with the facts set forth in the foregoing pleading, that the same are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief and that this statement is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A., Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

THOMAS CLEARY